Approved For Release 2000/09/11 : CIA-RDP80-00809A000500450207-0

U.S. Officials Only

CONFIDENTIAL

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

INFORMATION REPORT

COUNTRY USSR

SUBJECT

Background of the Agricultural Reform Program of the Soviet Union


PLACE / CQUIRED

(BY SOURCE)

25X1A

DATE ACQUIRED (BY SOURCE)

DATE (or info.) 1954 and earlier



25X1X

- 1. At the present time the whole structure of Soviet agricultural economy is undergoing profound and significant changes. Many Western observers refer to these changes as the beginning of a new era of the "New Economic Policy" in agriculture because some of the measures recently adopted by the Soviet government to increase agricult ural production seem to indicate that the Communists are again retreating from their basic economic principles. [1] See references Enclosure (A) Some economists are even inclined to call this renorm "the third revolution in Soviet agriculture" and to compare it with what is known as the first and second agricultural revolutions, namely: the nationalization of land which followed the Bolshevik Revolution of 1917 and the campaign of complete collectivization and "liquidation of Kulaks as a class" during the First-Five-Year-Plan in 1929-30. (2)
- 2. The Malenkov regime has certainly adopted a new policy toward collective farming, which lays greater stress upon agriculture and calls for increased investments. The question arises: will Communists be able to solve successfully their agricultural difficulties within the limitations set by their own dogma?
- 3. The agricultural reform program launc. I in September 1953 represents only another link in the chain of events which has been influencing and molding the development of Soviet agriculture during the last decade. Therefore it must be considered in the light of historical retrospect. It is a well established fact

U.S. Officials Only

CONFIDENTIAL

DISTRIBUTION - STATE ARMY NAVY AIR FB1

This report is for the use within the USA of the Intelligence components of the Departments or Agencies indicated above. It is not to be transmitted overseas without the concurrence of the originating office through the Assistant Director of the Office of Collection and Dissemination, CIA.

the pre-world War II Five-Year-Plans, Soviet agriculture had been neglected by the save exament, while primary extendion had been given by the development of communism, with its ultimate goal of world conjust, depended on the successful and quick realization of the transformation of a backward agrarian country into an industrial power. In Communist planning, the development of agriculture has always occupied a subordinate and secondary lace. The Soviet government apparently believed that it did not need much be each its subjects. At the session of the Supreme Soviet in 1933, Premier Malenkov dated that almost the of all investment between 1928 and 1933 (the whole period of the planning economy went for production of consumer's goods and only 9.4% for agriculture. As a result, on the eve of World war is gross output and period called "basic years" in the USSR before the introduction of the planned economy. (*)

- Soviet agriculture suffered greatly as the result of the devastations of World For II The most fertile regions of the Soviet Union were subjected to German sempation. Collective and Soviet state farms lost their implements. Livestock directinery. Losses in manpower were also very heavy. (b) This signation was demobilized servicemen preferred not to go back into the druckery of the collegfive lates but to seek embouncht in urban industry. After the war the complete collapse of prewar Communist agricultural policy appeared certain. In 1,42 Seviet agriculture surrered further from a serious drought (6) The situation called for basic policy changes. Overall planning of the Soviet oconomy was in need of complete revaluation. All corrective measures devises along standard lines of the preser agricultural policy, such as strengthening of "kolkhozdiscipline", changes in remuneration of labor, introduction of new smean compaigns" etc proved inadequate. It is a significant coincidence that at that time (March 1949) Wikolay Voznesensky, head of the State Flanning Commission, and his staff were dismissed. (7) For the next three years Communists tried desperately to improve the critical conditions in agriculture by establishing ac-colled "agrogoroda" (8) Eventually this idea proved impotent and had to be given up Finally, in October 1952 Stalin himself took to the rostrum to vote alarm and warning. He recognized the necessity of major reforms. The main thesis of his book "Problems of Economics" is his adultsion of the fact that abjective economic conditions exist not only in a empiralistic world but also in a socialist society, and that economic laws cannot be abclished or even altered by political actions. (9)
- 5. True to their rules and principles of complete secreey, Communists tried as long as possible to conceal their problems in agriculture. As recently as October 1952, the present Premier, Malenko deliperately gavefalse and misleading information on Soviet agriculture. In his report to the XIX Congress of the Communist Party Malenkov observed: "The grain problem, which was formerly regarded as the most acute and serious problem, has been successfully solved; it has been solved decisively and forever." (10) Furthermore he said: "Cur agriculture and stock-breeding are in a state of new and powerful progress. We all are happy to see the gigantic growth of our localist agricultural economy." (11) The official Soviet encyclopedia gave the following table "to illustrate the growth of Soviet agriculture":

Progress in Soviet Stock-breeding (12)

Animals (in million heads)	A11 1	`arms 1950	Kolkhoz 1440	only 1950	lyo over	r 1940 in p _Kolkhoz only	
Cuttle, horned	54.5	65.3	29.1	25.9	119.8	100.8	
Sheep & goat:	51.6	121.5	41.9	68.1	138.6	202.5	
Pigs	27.5	31.2	8.2	11.0	113.4	139.3	

Only with the death of Stalin in March 1953 did the outside world learn about the serious economic disease affecting the Soviet Union. Stalin's heirs had to make a quick and important decision about the loosing crisis in agriculture.

CONFIDENTIAL OFFICIALS ONLY

The so-called "collective leadership" which took over, knew that in the atmosphere of political uncertainty promipularies because extremely dangerous and could explode from the smallest spark. Such a catastrophe would push the whole Soviet structure into the abyss of economic depression.

6. In September 1953 Nikita Khrushchev, First Secretary of the Communist Party, in a dramatic move bluntly told about the tragic situation in Soviet agriculture. (13) Without bothering to offer any explanation of the striking controversy between his own evaluation and that of his chief, Premier Malenkov(14), Khrushchev revealed some of the statistics on agriculture. He cited absolute rigures and arew a picture of Soviet agriculture, which even though incomplete, showed that the industrial might of the Soviet Union had been built on quick sand. From Khrushchev's steech we learn that Soviet agriculture could not provide ar adequate supply of basic products, since gross agricultural production increased between 1940 and 1952 by only 10%.(15) Especially appalling is the situation which, according to Khrushchev, exists in Soviet livestock breeding. He gave, for instance, the following statistical data: in the whole Soviet Union there were 33.2 million cows in 1928, 28.8 million in 1941, and only 24.3 million in 1993. He reported that collective farms have shown complete inability in hundling livestock. The number of cows in the collective farm herds is at a dangerously low level. In the Ukraine the ratio has been reduced to 24% of the total number of cattle, in Rostov region to 21%, in Moldavia to 19%. On all Soviet collective farms (kolkhoz) there were only one million three hundred thousand cows in 1953 as compared with one million eight hundred thousand cows in 1935.(16) According to Khrushchev, preduction of milk has reached a very low level. In 1952 it was only 906 km and on the collective famus in the Kostvoma region, 819 kg per cow on the collective farms in the Vologda region, 457 kg per cow on the collective forms in the Georgian SSR, and 378 keylanth. Azerbaijan SSR. (17) In the main region of Soviet sheep-raising, Kazakh 3SR, the average output of wool per sheep decreased from 2.4 kg in 1940 to 1.9 kg in 1952. Khrushchev stated: "The output of the gross produce in swine-breeding in 1952 was only 1.0 willing tons as compared with 1.5 million tons in 1940".(18)

Khrushchev's data and some of the figures published earlier in the Soviet presserie compiled in the following table:

Livestock in the USSR (in millions)

Animals	<u> ⊤ेऽ8</u>	1938	1953	1954 (plan)
Cettle, horned	70.5	63.2	56.6	65.9
Shapp & goats	140.7	102.5	109.9	144.4
Furd	26.0	30.6	28.5	34.5

Since the population of the Soviet Union has increased during the lest 25 years by some 40%(19), computation on a per capita basis gives an even more representative picture of Soviet regression in animal husbandry. Such per capita figures yield the following results:

Livestock in the USSR (per capita)

Animals	1928	1953	
Cattle, horned	0.44	0.27	
Sheep & goats	0.76	0.52	
P138	0.16	0.14	

7. For reasons not given, Khrushchev did not reveal any absolute figures on grain production. But even by using figures quoted by Malenkov in his, rather optimistic, report to the XIX Congress of the Communist Party in October 1952(20), we find that there were 730 kg of grain per capita in 1937 and only 620 kg of grain per capita in 1952. Articles published by leading Soviet newspapers reveal more about deplorable conditions existing in Soviet agriculture. For instance, 'Pravda,'

CONFIDENTIAL/UB OFFICIALS ONLY

29 Sep 53 complained that: "... In many regions, districts and republics the sowing areas under potatoes and other vegetables have not reached the prewar level... yield remains low". "Pravda", 26 Sep 53 stated that: "The level reached in production of meats, milk, wool, eggs, hides and other products of animal husbandry is unsatisfactory... State plans for the increase of livestock and poultry have not been fulfilled year after year."

- Khrushchev has tried diligently to show that the main cause of the present critical situation in Soviet agriculture has been a "technical" one. He would like to convey the impression that the basic principles of Communist farm policy have proved correct and were not responsible for any shortcomings. He complained that: "During the post-war years a large number of the best educated collective peasants have transferred to work in industry."(21) He also blamed local collective farm leadership for its inability and low efficiency. Actually, these factors played only the secondary role in the development of the present crisis. The main reasons for the failure of Soviet agricultural policy are to be found elsewhere.
- y. It is a well known fact that the percentage of rural population in the Soviet Union is still much higher than in the advanced industrial countries of the Western World. (22) Therefore it is not physical shortage of manpower but the low productivity of the so-called "socialized" labor, which has been mainly responsible for failures of the Soviet agricultural economy. Present reform is, in effect, Communist recognition of the simple economic axiom that the most essential incentive for productive work is personal interest. (23) During the years of "the great social experiment" Communists tried in vain to invent and to apply numer cus artificial substitutes for this basic driving power of human progress. These so-called "social stimuli" have been the features of Soviet economic life, since the time of the Bolshevik Revolution. They have taken different forms and they have been called different names: "Socialist emulation", "broletarian consciousness", "Stakhanov's movement", "Soviet patriotism", "criticism and selfcriticism", etc. But they all have this in common: They have not produced results unless supplemented to a great extent by plain elemental fear, fear of arrest, fear of torture, fear of starvation, fear of cold death in Siberia an cany other kinds of fear. And every time the Soviet government, for reasons other than humanity, has had to resort temporarily to milder treatment of its subjects, it had to reintroduce well recognized but completely anti-Marxian methods of economic stimulation.
- 10. Today, in order to save their regime, Communists are forced to do more than merely devise new slogans and mottos for their propaganda. A period of a "pocket size New E momic Policy" in agriculture has actually begun. Collective peasants are encouraged to enlarge and develop their private landholdings. Prices for cattle delivered to the state have been increased by not less than 55%, delivery prices for milk and butter have been increased by 100%. (24) This il not only create economic stimulus but will also increase buying power of the peasants and their demand for industrial consumer's goods. In order to satisty this demand and to avoid increase in prices and general inflation, the regime will have to step up production of consumer's good. Taxes paid by collective farmers on the income derived from their individual households have been substantially reduced. (25) Simultaneously an increase in output of light industry has been promised by the new leaders. (26) But at the same time Communists are undertaking a new political-administrative campaign in order to eventually place the weakened sector of their "economic front" under tight control. Khrushchev dedicated a good part of his speech on agriculture to this theme. He said: Why do not we now ... issue an appeal on behalf of the Party, call on the best people from the cities, let us say, fifty thousand Communists, and send them to strengthen the work in the village?"(27)
- II. It is very likely that, based on historical precedent, as soon as the Party control apparatus feels itself strong enough and becomes again absolute master in Soviet villages, "the Malenkov New Economic Policy" will be called off. Communists do

CONFIDENTIAL/US OFFICIALS ONLY

Approved For Release 2000/09/11 : CIA-RDP80-00809A000500450207-0

CONFIDENTIAL/OS OFFICIALS ONLY

not change their goals and objectives. They only change their tectics. Their tactics are flexible but their goals remain the same, and their main goal was, is and will continue to be world conquest by Communism. Communist leaders in the Kremlin realize that this goal can be achieved only on the basis of a strongarm policy, a policy which calls for industrial development, at the expense of the general economic welfare of dommunist subjects. "Cannons instead of butter" is the motto of Communists today and will continue to be their motto in the years to come. The recent reforms do not present a lasting solution of the basic problem of Soviet agriculture, namely: the conflict between individualistic and collectivist psychologies. These reforms are only a temporary expedient on the part of Communists, a compromise, introduced in order to postpone the implementation of the solution of the egricultural problem to an historical moment more favorable to them.

- end -

Mos

ENCLOSURE (A): List of References Report

in the Compilation of this

25X1X

CONFIDENTIAL/UB OFFICIALS ONLY

CONFIDENTIAL/US OFFICIALS ONLY

A TOP COM IN IN ICAE IS

- (1) The New Economic Policy NEP was a policy of restoration of "limited capitalism" devised as a remedy against econ mic crisis, caused by the first attempt at forming Communist social order, so-called "War-Communism". The "tactical retreat" was adopted by the Tenth Congress of the Communist Party in March 1921, and lasted to 1928. Also see: V I Lenin, Collected Works, vol XVIII, pt I, pp 67, 343-4.
- (2) One of the first decrees promulgated by the Second Congress of Soviets (26 October - 8 November 1917) abolished private ownership of land and declared all land to be the property of the state.

The following statement about the significance of the complete collectivization which was forcibly carried out in the campaign of 1929-30 appears in the official manual of the history of the Communist Party.

"This was a most profound revolutionary change, a jump from an old qualitative social condition into a new qualitative condition. It was equal in its significance to the revolutionary change in October 1917."

The History of the All-Union Communist Party (Bolshevik), brief course, Moscow, 1948, p 291.

(3) Malenkov's breakdown of the total investments between 1928 and 1953:

Heavy Industry - 638 billion rubles, 63.9% of total Light Industry - 72 " 7.3% " " Agriculture - 94 " 9.46 " " 11 19.4% Transportation - 193 Pravda, 9 Aug 53.

(4) Alexander Baykov gives the following statistical data:

4) WIGNEHOGE DERIVOR Price and		_	
Item	1913	1928	1 <u>939</u> :
Grain (in million quincals)	816.0 or 801	733.2	1,054
	99.2	101.4	210.2
Sugar beet		70.5	64.6
Cattle (millions)	60.6	146.7	111.6
Sheep and goats (millions)	121.2		
Pigs (millions)	20.9	26.0	32.5

A Baykov, Soviet Economic System, New York, 1948, p 325 There was an increase in population of about 40% between 1913 and 1 39.

- (5) Estimated losses of the USSR in manpower during World War II are 37.5 million. N S Timasheff, "The Postwar Population of the Soviet Union", The American Journal of Sociology, No 2, September 1948. According to the official Soviet statistics, the Soviet Union had temporarily lost, as the result of the German occupation during World War II, an area which accounted for 45% of the population, 33% of gross output of industry, 47% of the sown area, 45% of cattle and 55% of railway lines. N Voznesensky, The War Economy of the USSR during the Patriotic War, Moscow, 1947.
- (6) According to the official Soviet figures, gross grain production dropped from 66 million metric tons in 1945 to 61 million metric tons in 1946. Pravda, 22 Jan 47.
- (7) Nikolay Voznesensky, a leading Soviet economist, was the head of the State Planning Commission ("Gosplan") from 1939 to 1949. He was also a member of the Party Central Committee and of the "Table 1949. He also held the position of Vice-Premier. No reasons for his dismissal were ever given by the Soviet government.

CONFITENTIAL/UB OFFICIALS ONLY ank & Tolky of the second

Approved For Release 2000/09/11: CIA-RDP80-00809A000500450207-0

ENCLOSURE (A) Page -2CONFIDENTIAL/US OFFICIALS ONLY

25X1A

- (8) "Agragared" in Russian mains Farm-City. Communists tried to improve productivity of their agriculture by enlarging and combining Kolkhozy and by creating huge state farms (Sovkhozy). Nikita Khrushchev was believed to be in charge of this campaign which was launched in 1980. Earlier, in 1947-48, Communists made an unsuccessful attempt to increase agricultural production by introducing numerous measures known as "Stalin's Plan of Transformation of Nature". This included a huge plan for afforestation of large areas in the most fertile regions of the Soviet Union and expansion of irrigation projects.
- 4. 19/11/6 (9) I V Stalin, Economic Problems of Socialism in the USSR, Moscow, 1952.
- (10) Fravda, 10 Oct 52.
- (11) Pravda, 10 Oct 52.
- (12) The Great Soviet Encyclopedia, vol 20, p 934.
- (13) Pravde, 19 Sep 53.
- (14) Fravda, 10 Oct. 57.
- (15) gross agricultural production in the Soviet Union in 1990 was only 7% larger than in 1040. Economic Survey of Europe in 1951, Geneva, 1952, p 134. During the same period of time, population increased by about 3% (from 193 million to 203 million).
- (16) Cows owned by Sovkhozy are not included.
- (17) As compared with average milk production in 1950-51 for one cow in the other countries: Holland 3700 kg, Izrael 3517 kg, Pelgium 3450 kg, Switzerland 2980 kg, Federal Republic 2640 kg, US 2,00 kg. S Shvertz, The Socialist Courier, October-November, 1953, o 163.
- (18) Prevda, 15 Scp 53.
- (10) Population of the Soviet Union (millions): 1928 - 151 (estimated) 1953 - 210 (estimated)

The Great Soviet Encyclopedia, vol XX (p 49), gives the following three figures on the population of the USSR:

1926 - 147 million 1939 - 170,46 1940 - 193

- (20) Malenkov's Tigure was eight billion poods (131.1 million metric tons) Pravda, 10 Oct 52.
- (21) Pravda, 15 Sep 53
- (22) In his speech to the XIX Party Congress in October 1952, Malenkov stated that the urban population of the Sovi t Union was 80 million. This means that about 62% of total population lives in rural communities. Pravda, 10 Oct 52. In the US this ratio is only 36.35 and in Canada 40%.
- (23) Great economist Adam Smith observed that: "The experiences of all ages and nations defining trate that the work done by slaves, though it appears to cost only their maintenance, is in the end the dearest of any. A person who can acquire no property can have no other interest but to eat as much and to labor as little as possible. Whatever work he does beyond what is sufficient to purchase his own maintenance can be squeezed out of him by violence only, and not by any interest of his own." Adam Smith, Wealth of Nations, 1937 ed, p 365.

Approved For Release 2000/09/11: CIA-RDP80-00809A000500450207-0 CONFIDENTIAL/US OFFICIALS ONLY 25X1A

ENCLOSURE (A)
Page -3-

Karl Marx pointed out that:
"The lowest possible wage which a slave earns appears to be a constant, independent of his work."
"Manuscripts of Karl Marx", Bolshevik, 1932, No 5.

- (2h) Provda and Izvestiya, 26 Sep 53.
- (25) Pravda, 13 Sep 53.
- (26) Malenkov's speech to the Supreme Soviet, Pravda, 9 Aug 53.

 Mikoyan's speech on production of consumers goods, Pravda, 25 Oct 53.

 "Decree on Increasing Output of Consumer's Goods", Izvestiya, 28 Oct 53.
- (27) Pravda, 15 Sep 53.

721.2 783.33 727.04 727.13

CONFIDENTIAL/US OFFICIALS ONLY